(*c*) How many of them are working satisfactorily; how many are not? (Reasons for unsatisfactory work may be stated).

(*d*) From what taluks applications have been received for the installation of a large number of pumps?

(*e*) What are the places in the State where electric lights have been installed?

(*f*) What is the number of petitions received for the use of electricity for agricultural purposes? (Talukwar figures may be furnished.)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, KRISHNARAJASAGARA AND ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—20. (*a*) to (*e*) Necessary information is found in the statements furnished and placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix C.) Applications have been received for irrigation pumps from Goribidnur, Chikballapur, Kolar and Mulbagal Taluks.

(*f*) *Vide* statement placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix C.)

MR. S. M. SIVALINGAPPA (Chiknayakanahalli Taluk).—

Q.—21. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether the temple of Dasaratha Rameswara Devaru, situated in Hosadurga Taluk of the Chitaldrug District, is a muzrai institution?

(*b*) Has it been endowed with inam lands; if so, the details of wet, dry and garden lands may be furnished, with the amount of jodi due to Government?

(*c*) (i) Whether it is a fact that Government have dispossessed the archak of the temple inam lands and sold them on lease tenure; (ii) when were they sold; (iii) how many times and (iv) what are the realisations?

(*d*) Whether a scale of income and expenditure had been fixed for expenditure in connection with *rathotsavam*, pay of the archak and *naivedya*, etc., before the lands were leased out by auction; if so, what are the details of the scale so fixed?

(*e*) In whose possession are these inam lands now?

(*f*) Is it a fact that Government have since ordered that the lands should be left in the possession of the archak subject to the condition of recovering reserve amount from him; and if so, what is the amount ordered to be paid as reserve fund?

(*g*) Whether Government had locked the temple; when and why; for how many days was it locked?

(*h*) Was it not brought to the notice of Government that, as a result of this act, interruption was caused to devotees in worshipping the God?

(*i*) Whether it is a fact that after some days the Government unlocked the temple and appointed a separate archak?

(*j*) Is it true that the archak of this temple has acquired hereditary right to the archakship by obtaining title deeds from our late Maharajas?

(*k*) What is the reason for the removal of the archak and appointment of a new man?

(*l*) Has or has not the Government disbursed the pay to the archak after leasing out inam lands? If he is not paid, what is the reason for non-payment till now and when will he be paid?

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—21. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

Name of village:—Neralakere.

S. No.		*Land*	*Extent*	*Jodi Assessment*	*Jodi*
1	...	dry	4–17	0–12–0	
78	...	dry	0–34	0–8–0	
		wet	0–38	3–0–0	Rs. 8–8–0
96	...	dry	4–3	1–8–0	
143	...	wet	2–4	4–0–0	

Name of village:—Kanchipura.

109/1	...	dry	2–11	0–12–0	
138	...	dry	2–10	0–12–0	
142	...	dry	3–7	1–0–0	Rs. 5–0–0
136	...	dry	2–0	3–0–0	
		garden	2–1		
149	...	dry	1–20	0–8–0	

(*c*) (i) It is reported that the previous archak was dispossessed of the inam lands from 10th August 1929.

(ii) On 17th February 1930 and subsequently.

(iii) Thrice.

(iv) Gutta amount (Rs. 20 each) was realised in the sales of 2 dry lands in Kanchipura Village. The sales of the leases of other lands fetched no bids.

(*d*) Yes. A copy of scale patti sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner is annexed. (*Vide* Appendix C.)

(*e*) All the above inam lands except S. Nos. 109/1 and 138 which have been sold on gutta tenure, are in the possession of the present pujari Siddaramappa, son of Ramalingappa.

(*f*) *Vide* answers to Sub-Sections (*d*) and (*e*) above. The archak is required to pay the tax on lands in his possession and to do all the services mentioned in the scale patti including *rathothsavam*.

(*g*) Yes. It is reported that puja was temporarily suspended for a month from 9th July 1931 owing to the removal of the old archak and the delay in the appointment of a new archak.

(*h*) No.

(*i*) Yes. It is reported that puja was resumed as soon as a new archak was appointed.

(*j*) Government have no information.

(*k*) Information called for.

(*l*) *Vide* answer to (*j*) *supra*.

MESSRS. H. S. GUNDAPPA and PATEL BALE GOWDA (Kunigal Taluk).—

Q.—23. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Are the people competent to establish new shandies without Government sanction and is it not unlawful to hold new shandies without the sanction of Government?

(*b*) Has it come to the notice of Government that people have organised and are holding a shandy without the sanction of Government in the place where the limits of Mallanaikanahalli, Deemanahalli and Mallinkuppe in Maddur Taluk, Mysore District, meet?

(*c*) Will it not lead to a breach of the public peace if people are allowed to have their own way in organising shandies?

(*d*) Is it not right that the people responsible for organising this shandy should be dealt with according to law?

(*e*) Is it not irregular to conduct a shandy without permission within three-fourths of a mile from Nidasale shandy on the same day?

(*f*) Will not the people learn a lesson if orders are issued stopping the shandy and punishing the persons responsible for its establishment without permission?